arXiv:1604.03181v1 [math.GT] 12 Apr 2016

ADJOINT TWISTED ALEXANDER POLYNOMIALS OF GENUS ONE TWO-BRIDGE KNOTS

ANH T. TRAN

Abstract. We give explicit formulas for the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial and the nonabelian Reidemeister torsion of genus one two-bridge knots.

1. Introduction

The twisted Alexander polynomial, a generalization of the Alexander polynomial [1], was introduced by Lin [12] for knots in the 3-sphere and by Wada [22] for finitely presented groups. Twisted Alexander polynomials have been extensively studied in recent years, see the survey papers [6, 14] and references therein. The adjoint action, Ad, is the conjugation on the Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ by the Lie group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose $K \subset S^3$ is a knot and $\pi_1(K)$ its knot group. For each representation ρ of $\pi_1(K)$ into $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, the composition $\mathrm{Ad} \circ \rho$ is a representation of $\pi_1(K)$ into $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ and hence, by [22], one can define a rational function $\Delta_K^{\mathrm{Ad}\circ\rho}(t)$, called the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial associated to ρ. The polynomial $\Delta_K^{\mathrm{Ad}\circ\rho}(t)$ was calculated for the figure eight knot by Dubois and Yamaguchi [4], and for torus knots and twist knots by the author [20]. In this paper we compute the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial for genus one two-bridge knots, a class of two-bridge knots which includes twist knots.

Let $J(k,l)$ be the knot/link in Figure 1, where k, l denote the numbers of half twists in the boxes. Positive (resp. negative) numbers correspond to right-handed (resp. left-handed) twists. Note that $J(k,l)$ is a knot if and only if kl is even. It is known that the set of all genus one two-bridge knots is the same as the set of all the knots $J(2m, 2n)$ with $mn \neq 0$, see e.g. [2, page 203]. The knots $J(2, 2n)$ are known as twist knots. For more information on $J(k,l)$, see [7].



Figure 1. The knot/link $J(k,l)$.

From now on we fix $K = J(2m, 2n)$ with $mn \neq 0$. The knot group of K has a presentation $\pi_1(K) = \langle a, b \mid w^n a = b w^n \rangle$ where a, b are meridians and $w = (ba^{-1})^m (b^{-1}a)^m$. A

2010 *Mathematics Classification:* Primary 57N10. Secondary 57M25.
Key words and phrases: adjoint action, genus one, Reidemeister torsion, Riley polynomial, twisted Alexander polynomial, two-bridge knot.

representation $\rho : \pi_1(K) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is called nonabelian if the image of ρ is a nonabelian subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose $\rho : \pi_1(K) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a nonabelian representation. Up to conjugation, we may assume that

$$\rho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} s & 1 \\ 0 & s^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} s & 0 \\ 2-y & s^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $s \neq 0$ and $y \neq 2$ satisfy the Riley equation $\phi_K(s, y) = 0$, see [18, 11]. Note that $y = \operatorname{tr} \rho(ab^{-1})$. The polynomial $\phi_K(s, y)$ is explicitly given in Proposition 4.1 by

$$\phi_K(s, y) = S_{n-2}(z) - \big[1 - (y + 2 - x^2)S_{m-1}(y)\big(S_{m-1}(y) - S_{m-2}(y)\big)\big]S_{n-1}(z),$$

where $x := \operatorname{tr} \rho(a) = s + s^{-1}$ and

$$z := \operatorname{tr} \rho(w) = 2S_m^2(y) - 2yS_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) + \big((2x^2 - 2)(1 - y) + y^2\big)S_{m-1}^2(y).$$

Here $S_k(v)$'s are the Chebychev polynomials of the second kind defined by $S_0(v) = 1$, $S_1(v) = v$ and $S_k(v) = vS_{k-1}(v) - S_{k-2}(v)$ for all integers k.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2n\Big(\frac{2m + 2S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) - yS_{m-1}^2(y)}{y+2}\Big), \\ B &= -4\big(S_m(y) + S_{m-1}(y)\big)^2\Big(\frac{mS_m(y) + (m+1)S_{m-1}(y)}{(y+2)S_{m-1}(y)}\Big) \\ &\quad + 6mS_m^2(y) + (4 + 4m - 4n - 2my)S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) \\ &\quad + (2 + 2m - 4mn - y + 2ny + 2mny)S_{m-1}^2(y), \\ C &= -(2mn - 1)\big(2S_m(y) - yS_{m-1}(y)\big)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial of $K = J(2m, 2n)$ is computed as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $\rho : \pi_1(K) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a nonabelian representation. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_K^{Ad\circ\rho}(t) &= \frac{t-1}{(y+2-x^2)\big(4 - x^2 + (y-2)(y+2-x^2)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big)} \\ &\quad \times \left(mnt^2 - \frac{Ax^4 + Bx^2 + C}{4 + (y-2)(y+2-x^2)S_{m-1}^2(y)}\, t + mn\right). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.1 generalizes the formula for the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial of the twist knot $K = J(2, 2n)$ in [20].

It is known that $\Delta_K^{\mathrm{Ad}\circ\rho}(t)$ coincides with the nonabelian Reidemeister torsion polynomial $\mathcal{T}_K^\rho(t)$ [9, 10]. As a consequence of this identification, one can calculate the nonabelian Reidemeister torsion $\mathbb{T}_K^\rho$ for any longitude-regular $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$-representation ρ of the knot group of K by applying the following formula of Yamaguchi:

$$\mathbb{T}_K^\rho = -\lim_{t\to 1} \frac{\mathcal{T}_K^\rho(t)}{t-1}, \tag{1.1}$$

see [21]. We refer the reader to [16, 17, 5, 3, 4] for definitions of $\mathcal{T}_K^\rho(t)$ and $\mathbb{T}_K^\rho$.

From Theorem 1.1 and Formula (1.1), we have the following.

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose $\rho : \pi_1(K) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a longitude-regular nonabelian representation. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{T}_K^\rho &= \frac{1}{(y+2-x^2)\big(4-x^2+(y-2)(y+2-x^2)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big)} \\ &\quad \times \left(2mn - \frac{Ax^4+Bx^2+C}{4+(y-2)(y+2-x^2)S_{m-1}^2(y)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.3. (1) The nonabelian Reidemeister torsion $\mathbb{T}_K^\rho$ appears in the volume conjecture of Kashaev and Murakami-Murakami [8, 13] which relates the colored Jones polynomial of a knot $K \subset S^3$ and its hyperbolic volume.

(2) A combinatorial formula for the nonabelian Reidemeister torsion of a hyperbolic two-bridge knot associated to the holonomy representation has recently been given by Ohtsuki and Takata [15].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly recall the definition of the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial. In Section 3 we present matrix computations which are needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Finally, we prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 4.

2. Adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial

2.1. **Twisted Alexander polynomial.** Let K be a knot and $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(S^3\backslash K)$ its knot group. We fix a presentation

$$\pi_1(K) = \langle a_1, \dots, a_\ell \mid r_1, \dots, r_{\ell-1}\rangle.$$

(This might not be a Wirtinger representation, but must be of deficiency one.)

Let $f : \pi_1(K) \to H_1(S^3\backslash K, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} = \langle t\rangle$ be the abelianization homomorphism and $\rho : \pi_1(K) \to SL_k(\mathbb{C})$ a representation. These maps naturally induce two ring homomorphisms $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(K)] \to \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ and $\widetilde{\rho} : \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(K)] \to \mathcal{M}(k, \mathbb{C})$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(K)]$ is the group ring of $\pi_1(K)$ and $\mathcal{M}(k, \mathbb{C})$ is the matrix algebra of degree k over $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\widetilde{\rho} \otimes \widetilde{f} : \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(K)] \to \mathcal{M}\left(k, \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]\right)$ is a ring homomorphism. Let F_ℓ be the free group on generators $a_1, \dots, a_\ell$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}[F_\ell] \to \mathcal{M}\left(k, \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]\right)$ the composition of the surjective map $\mathbb{Z}[F_\ell] \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(K)]$ induced by the presentation of $\pi_1(K)$ and the map $\widetilde{\rho} \otimes \widetilde{f} : \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(K)] \to \mathcal{M}(k, \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$.

We consider the $(\ell-1) \times \ell$ matrix M whose (i,j)-component is the $k \times k$ matrix

$$\Phi\left(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial a_j}\right) \in \mathcal{M}\left(k, \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]\right),$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial a}$ denotes the Fox derivative. For $1 \le j \le \ell$, let M_j be the $(\ell-1) \times (\ell-1)$ matrix obtained from M by removing the jth column. We regard M_j as a $k(\ell-1) \times k(\ell-1)$ matrix with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$. Then Wada's twisted Alexander polynomial of the knot K associated to the representation $\rho : \pi_1(K) \to SL_k(\mathbb{C})$ is defined to be the rational function

$$\Delta_K^\rho(t) = \frac{\det M_j}{\det \Phi(1-a_j)}.$$

It is defined up to a factor t^{km} ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$), see [22].

2.2. **Adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial.** The adjoint action, Ad, is the conjugation on the Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ by the Lie group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. For $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $g \in sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ we have $\mathrm{Ad}_A(g) = AgA^{-1}$. For each representation $\rho : \pi_1(K) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, the composition $\mathrm{Ad} \circ \rho : \pi_1(K) \to SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ is a representation and hence one can define the twisted Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K^{\mathrm{Ad}\circ\rho}(t)$. We call $\Delta_K^{\mathrm{Ad}\circ\rho}(t)$ the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial associated to ρ. In this paper we are interested in the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial associated to nonabelian $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$-representations.

3. Matrix Computations

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose* $M = \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. *Let* $\mu = \operatorname{tr} M$, $X = S_{n-1}^2(\mu)$ *and* $Y = S_{n-1}(\mu)S_{n-2}(\mu)$. *Then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(Ad_M)^i = \frac{1}{\mu^2-4}\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23} \\ C_{31} & C_{32} & C_{33} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{11} &= 2nfg + h^2(2X-\mu Y) - 2h(\mu X - 2Y) + (\mu^2-2)X - \mu Y,\\
C_{12} &= 2f\big(n(e-h) + h(2X-\mu Y) - \mu X + 2Y\big),\\
C_{13} &= f^2(2n - 2X + \mu Y),\\
C_{21} &= -g\big(n(h-e) - h(2X-\mu Y) + \mu X - 2Y\big),\\
C_{22} &= n(e-h)^2 + 2fg(2X-\mu Y),\\
C_{23} &= f(n(e-h) + h\big(2X-\mu Y) - \mu X + (\mu^2-2)Y\big),\\
C_{31} &= g^2(2n - 2X + \mu Y),\\
C_{32} &= -2g\big(n(h-e) - h(2X-\mu Y) + \mu X - (\mu^2-2)Y\big),\\
C_{33} &= 2nfg + h^2(2X-\mu Y) - 2h\big(\mu X - (\mu^2-2)Y\big) + (\mu^2-2)X - (\mu^3-3\mu)Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_\pm = (\mu \pm \sqrt{\mu^2-4})/2$ be the eigenvalues of M. Note that $\lambda_+\lambda_- = 1$ and $\lambda_+ + \lambda_- = \mu$. Let

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} f & f \\ h-\lambda_+ & h-\lambda_- \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $M = P\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_-, \lambda_+)P^{-1}$.

Let $\alpha = h-\lambda_+$ and $\beta = h-\lambda_-$. Note that $\alpha\beta = h^2 - h(\lambda_+ + \lambda_-) + 1 = h^2 - h(h+e) + 1 = -fg$ and $\alpha + \beta = 2h - (\lambda_+ + \lambda_-) = h - e$. With respect to the basis $\{E, H, F\}$ of $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$, the matrix of the adjoint action of P is

$$Ad_P = \frac{1}{\lambda_- - \lambda_+}\begin{bmatrix} -f & 2f & f \\ \alpha & -(\alpha+\beta) & -\beta \\ \alpha^2/f & -2\alpha\beta/f & -\beta^2/f \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $Ad_M = (Ad_P)\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_-^2, 1, \lambda_+^2)(Ad_P)^{-1}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(Ad_M)^i &= (Ad_P)\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_-^{n-1}S_{n-1}(\mu), n, \lambda_+^{n-1}S_{n-1}(\mu))(Ad_P)^{-1}\\ &= \frac{1}{(\lambda_- - \lambda_+)^2}\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13}\\ C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23}\\ C_{31} & C_{32} & C_{33}\end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}C_{11} &= -2n\alpha\beta + (\beta^2\lambda_-^{n-1} + \alpha^2\lambda_+^{n-1})S_{n-1}(\mu)\\ C_{12} &= 2f\big(-n(\alpha+\beta) + (\beta\lambda_-^{n-1} + \alpha\lambda_+^{n-1})S_{n-1}(\mu)\big)\\ C_{13} &= f^2(2n - (\lambda_-^{n-1} + \lambda_+^{n-1})S_{n-1}(\mu)),\\ C_{21} &= (\alpha\beta/f)\big(n(\alpha+\beta) - (\beta\lambda_-^{n-1} + \alpha\lambda_+^{n-1})S_{n-1}(\mu)\big)\\ C_{22} &= n(\alpha+\beta)^2 - 2\alpha\beta(\lambda_-^{n-1} + \lambda_+^{n-1})S_{n-1}(\mu),\\ C_{23} &= f\big(-n(\alpha+\beta) + (\alpha\lambda_-^{n-1} + \beta\lambda_+^{n-1})S_{n-1}(\mu)\big)\\ C_{31} &= (\alpha\beta/f)^2(2n - (\lambda_-^{n-1} + \lambda_+^{n-1})S_{n-1}(\mu)),\\ C_{32} &= (2\alpha\beta/f)\big(n(\alpha+\beta) - (\alpha\lambda_-^{n-1} + \beta\lambda_+^{n-1})S_{n-1}(\mu)\big)\\ C_{33} &= -2n\alpha\beta + (\alpha^2\lambda_-^{n-1} + \beta^2\lambda_+^{n-1})S_{n-1}(\mu).\end{aligned}$$

The proposition then follows from $(\lambda_- - \lambda_+)^2 = \mu^2 - 4$, $\alpha\beta = -fg$, $\alpha + \beta = h - e$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha\lambda_-^{n-1} + \beta\lambda_+^{n-1} &= h(\lambda_-^{n-1} + \lambda_+^{n-1}) - (\lambda_-^{n-2} + \lambda_+^{n-2}),\\ \beta\lambda_-^{n-1} + \alpha\lambda_+^{n-1} &= h(\lambda_-^{n-1} + \lambda_+^{n-1}) - (\lambda_-^{n} + \lambda_+^{n}),\\ \alpha^2\lambda_-^{n-1} + \beta^2\lambda_+^{n-1} &= h^2(\lambda_-^{n-1} + \lambda_+^{n-1}) - 2h(\lambda_-^{n-2} + \lambda_+^{n-2}) + (\lambda_-^{n-3} + \lambda_+^{n-3}),\\ \beta^2\lambda_-^{n-1} + \alpha^2\lambda_+^{n-1} &= h^2(\lambda_-^{n-1} + \lambda_+^{n-1}) - 2h(\lambda_-^{n} + \lambda_+^{n}) + (\lambda_-^{n+1} + \lambda_+^{n+1}),\end{aligned}$$

and $\lambda_-^{n-l} + \lambda_+^{n-l} = (\lambda_-^{l-1} + \lambda_+^{l-1})S_{n-1}(\mu) - (\lambda_-^{l} + \lambda_+^{l})S_{n-2}(\mu)$. □

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose* $M = \begin{bmatrix} e & f\\ g & h\end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. *Let* $\mu = \mathrm{tr}\, M$. *Then*

$$(Ad_M)^n = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{13}\\ D_{21} & D_{22} & D_{23}\\ D_{31} & D_{32} & D_{33}\end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{11} &= \big(S_p(\mu) - hS_{p-1}(\mu)\big)^2,\\
D_{12} &= -2fS_{p-1}(\mu)\big(S_p(\mu) - hS_{p-1}(\mu)\big),\\
D_{13} &= -f^2S^2_{p-1}(\mu),\\
D_{21} &= -gS_{p-1}(\mu)\big(S_p(\mu) - hS_{p-1}(\mu)\big),\\
D_{22} &= \big(S_p(\mu) - hS_{p-1}(\mu)\big)\big(S_p(\mu) - eS_{p-1}(\mu)\big) + fgS^2_{p-1}(\mu),\\
D_{23} &= fS_{p-1}(\mu)\big(S_p(\mu) - eS_{p-1}(\mu)\big),\\
D_{31} &= -g^2S^2_{p-1}(\mu),\\
D_{32} &= 2gS_{p-1}(\mu)\big(S_p(\mu) - eS_{p-1}(\mu)\big),\\
D_{33} &= \big(S_p(\mu) - eS_{p-1}(\mu)\big)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof of Proposition 3.2 is similar to that of Proposition 3.1. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Recall that $K = J(2m, 2n)$ and $\pi_1(K) = \langle a, b \mid w^n a = bw^n\rangle$, where a, b are meridians and $w = (ba^{-1})^m(b^{-1}a)^m$. Suppose $\rho : \pi_1(K) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a nonabelian representation. Up to conjugation, we may assume that

$$\rho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} s & 1\\ 0 & s^{-1}\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} s & 0\\ 2-y & s^{-1}\end{bmatrix}$$

where $s \neq 0$ and $y \neq 2$ satisfy the Riley equation $\phi_K(s, y) = 0$.

The formulas in the following proposition are taken from [19].

Proposition 4.1. *i)* $\rho(w) = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12}\\ (2-y)w_{12} & w_{22}\end{bmatrix}$, *where*

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{11} &= S^2_m(y) + (2-2y)S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) + (1 + 2s^2 - 2y - s^2y + y^2)S^2_{m-1}(y),\\
w_{12} &= (s^{-1} - s)S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) + (s^{-1} + s - s^{-1}y)S^2_{m-1}(y),\\
w_{22} &= S^2_m(y) - 2S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) + (1 + 2s^{-2} - s^{-2}y)S^2_{m-1}(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $z := \operatorname{tr}\rho(w) = 2S^2_m(y) - 2yS_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) + \big((2 + 2s^2 + 2s^{-2})(1-y) + y^2\big)S^2_{m-1}(y)$.

ii) $\phi_K(s, y) = S_{n-2}(z) - \big[1 - (y - s^2 - s^{-2})S_{m-1}(y)\big(S_{m-1}(y) - S_{m-2}(y)\big)\big]S_{n-1}(z)$.

iii) $S^2_{n-1}(z) = \big[(y - s^2 - s^{-2})S^2_{m-1}(y)\,\big(2 - s^2 - s^{-2} + (y - s^2 - s^{-2})(y-2)S^2_{m-1}(y)\big)\,\big]^{-1}$.

Let $r = w^n a w^{-n} b^{-1}$. We have $\Delta_K^{Ad\circ\rho}(t) = \det\Phi\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial a}\right) / \det\Phi(b-1)$. It is easy to see that $\det\Phi(b-1) = (t-1)(t-s^2)(t-s^{-2})$.

For an integer p and a word u (in 2 letters a, b), let $\delta_p(u) = 1 + u + \cdots + u^p$.

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial a} = w^n\big[1 + (1-a)\delta_{n-1}(w^{-1})(a^{-1}b)^m(b^{-1} - 1)\delta_{m-1}(ab^{-1})\big].$$

Proof. The lemma follows from a direct calculation. □

Proposition 4.3. *We have*

$$\Phi(\delta_{n-1}(w^{-1})) = \frac{1}{z^2-4}\begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} & E_{13} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} & E_{23} \\ E_{31} & E_{32} & E_{33} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
z &= 2S_m^2(y) - 2yS_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) + \big((2+2s^2+2s^{-2})(1-y)+y^2\big)S_{m-1}^2(y),\\
E_{11} &= -2n(y-2)w_{12}^2 + w_{11}^2(2X-zY) - 2w_{11}(zX-2Y) + (z^2-2)X - zY,\\
E_{12} &= -2w_{12}\big(n(w_{22}-w_{11}) + w_{11}(2X-zY) - zX + 2Y\big),\\
E_{13} &= w_{12}^2(2n-2X+zY),\\
E_{21} &= -(y-2)w_{12}\big(n(w_{11}-w_{22}) - w_{11}(2X-zY) + zX - 2Y\big),\\
E_{22} &= n(w_{22}-w_{11})^2 - 2(y-2)w_{12}^2(2X-zY),\\
E_{23} &= -w_{12}\big(n(w_{22}-w_{11}) + w_{11}\big(2X-zY\big) - zX + (z^2-2)Y\big),\\
E_{31} &= (y-2)^2w_{12}^2(2n-2X+zY),\\
E_{32} &= -2(y-2)w_{12}\big(n(w_{11}-w_{22}) - w_{11}(2X-zY) + zX - (z^2-2)Y\big),\\
E_{33} &= -2n(y-2)w_{12}^2 + w_{11}^2(2X-zY) - 2w_{11}(zX-(z^2-2)Y)\\
&\quad + (z^2-2)X - (z^3-3z)Y,\\
X &= \big[(y-s^2-s^{-2})S_{m-1}^2(y)\,\big(2-s^2-s^{-2}+(y-s^2-s^{-2})(y-2)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big)\,\big]^{-1},\\
Y &= \big[1-(y-s^2-s^{-2})S_{m-1}(y)\big(S_m(y)-(y-1)S_{m-1}(y)\big)\big]X.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that $\rho(w^{-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} w_{22} & -w_{12} \\ (y-2)w_{12} & w_{11} \end{bmatrix}$, where w_{ij} are computed in Proposition 4.1(i), and $z = \operatorname{tr}\rho(w^{-1})$. Since $\phi_K(s,y) = 0$, by Proposition 4.1(ii) we have

$$S_{n-1}(z)S_{n-2}(z) = \big[1-(y-s^2-s^{-2})S_{m-1}(y)\big(S_m(y)-(y-1)S_{m-1}(y)\big)\big]S_{n-1}^2(z).$$

By Proposition 4.1(iii) we have

$$S_{n-1}^2(z) = \big[(y-s^2-s^{-2})S_{m-1}^2(y)\,\big(2-s^2-s^{-2}+(y-s^2-s^{-2})(y-2)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big)\,\big]^{-1}.$$

The proposition then follows by applying Proposition 3.1. □

Proposition 4.4. *We have*

$$\Phi((a^{-1}b)^m) = \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} & F_{13} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} & F_{23} \\ F_{31} & F_{32} & F_{33} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{11} &= \big(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)\big)^2,\\
F_{12} &= 2s^{-1}S_{m-1}(y)\big(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)\big),\\
F_{13} &= -s^{-2}S_{m-1}^2(y),\\
F_{21} &= s(y-2)S_{m-1}(y)\big(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y),\\
F_{22} &= \big(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)\big)\big(S_m(y) - (y-1)S_{m-1}(y)\big) + (y-2)S_{m-1}^2(y),\\
F_{23} &= -s^{-1}S_{m-1}(y)\big(S_m(y) - (y-1)S_{m-1}(y)\big),\\
F_{31} &= -s^2(y-2)^2S_{m-1}^2(y),\\
F_{32} &= -2s(y-2)S_{m-1}(y)\big(S_m(y) - (y-1)S_{m-1}(y)\big),\\
F_{33} &= \big(S_m(y) - (y-1)S_{m-1}(y)\big)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that $\rho(a^{-1}b) = \begin{bmatrix} y-1 & -s^{-1} \\ -s(y-2) & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\operatorname{tr}\rho(a^{-1}b) = y$. The proposition follows by applying Proposition 3.2. □

Proposition 4.5. *We have*

$$\Phi(\delta_{m-1}(ab^{-1})) = \frac{1}{y^2-4}\begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} & G_{13} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} & G_{23} \\ G_{31} & G_{32} & G_{33} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{11} &= (y-2)\big[2m + 2S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) - yS_{m-1}^2(y)\big],\\
G_{12} &= 2s(y-2)\big[m + S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) - (y+1)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big],\\
G_{13} &= s^2\big[2m - yS_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) + (y^2-2)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big],\\
G_{21} &= s^{-1}(y-2)^2\big[m + S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) - (y+1)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big],\\
G_{22} &= (y-2)\big[(y-2)m + 2yS_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) - (2y^2-4)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big],\\
G_{23} &= s(y-2)\big[m - (y+1)S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) + (y^2+y-1)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big],\\
G_{31} &= s^{-2}(y-2)^2\big[2m - yS_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) + (y^2-2)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big],\\
G_{32} &= 2s^{-1}(y-2)^2\big[m - (y+1)S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) + (y^2+y-1)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big],\\
G_{33} &= (y-2)\big[2m + (y^2-2)S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) - (y^3-3y)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that $\rho(ab^{-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} y-1 & s \\ s^{-1}(y-2) & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $S_{m-2}(y) = yS_{m-1}(y) - S_m(y)$. The proposition follows by applying Proposition 3.1. □

Since $\det\Phi(w) = 1$, by Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\det\Phi\Big(\frac{\partial r}{\partial a}\Big) = \det\Phi\Big(1 + (1-a)\delta_{n-1}(w^{-1})(a^{-1}b)^m(b^{-1}-1)\delta_{m-1}(ab^{-1})\Big).$$

With the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(1-a) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1-s^2t & 2st & t \\ 0 & 1-t & -s^{-1}t \\ 0 & 0 & 1-s^{-2}t \end{bmatrix}, \\
\Phi(\delta_{n-1}(w^{-1})) &= \frac{1}{z^2-4}\begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} & E_{13} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} & E_{23} \\ E_{31} & E_{32} & E_{33} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{(by Proposition 4.3)}, \\
\Phi((a^{-1}b)^m) &= \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} & F_{13} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} & F_{23} \\ F_{31} & F_{32} & F_{33} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{(by Proposition 4.4)}, \\
\Phi(b^{-1}-1) &= \begin{bmatrix} -1+s^{-2}t^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ (2-y)s^{-1}t^{-1} & -1+t^{-1} & 0 \\ -(y-2)^2t^{-1} & 2s(y-2)t^{-1} & -1+s^2t^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \\
\Phi(\delta_{m-1}(ab^{-1})) &= \frac{1}{y^2-4}\begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} & G_{13} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} & G_{23} \\ G_{31} & G_{32} & G_{33} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{(by Proposition 4.5)},
\end{aligned}$$

and the help of Mathematica, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\det\Phi(\frac{\partial r}{\partial a}) &= \frac{(t-1)^2(t-s)(t-s^{-1})}{(y-s^2-s^{-2})\big(2-s^2-s^{-2}+(y-2)(y-s^2-s^{-2})S_{m-1}^2(y)\big)} \\
&\quad \times\left(mnt^2-\frac{A'(s^4+s^{-4})+B'(s^2+s^{-2})+C'}{4+(y-2)(y-s^2-s^{-2})S_{m-1}^2(y)}\,t+mn\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A' &= 2n\Big(\frac{2m+2S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y)-yS_{m-1}^2(y)}{y+2}\Big), \\
B' &= 8n\Big(\frac{2m+2S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y)-yS_{m-1}^2(y)}{y+2}\Big) \\
&\quad -4\big(S_m(y)+S_{m-1}(y)\big)^2\Big(\frac{mS_m(y)+(m+1)S_{m-1}(y)}{(y+2)S_{m-1}(y)}\Big) \\
&\quad +6mS_m^2(y)+(4+4m-4n-2my)S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) \\
&\quad +(2+2m-4mn-y+2ny+2mny)S_{m-1}^2(y), \\
C' &= 12n\Big(\frac{2m+2S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y)-yS_{m-1}^2(y)}{y+2}\Big) \\
&\quad -8\big(S_m(y)+S_{m-1}(y)\big)^2\Big(\frac{mS_m(y)+(m+1)S_{m-1}(y)}{(y+2)S_{m-1}(y)}\Big) \\
&\quad +12mS_m^2(y)+(4+4m-4n-2my)S_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) \\
&\quad +(4+4m-8mn-2y+4ny+4mny)S_{m-1}^2(y) \\
&\quad -(2mn-1)\big(2S_m(y)-yS_{m-1}(y)\big)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we should remark that we used the formula $S_m^2(y)-yS_m(y)S_{m-1}(y)+S_{m-1}^2(y)=1$ to greatly simplify the computations in Mathematica.

Since $s+s^{-1}=x$, $s^2+s^{-2}=x^2-2$ and $s^4+s^{-4}=x^4-4x^2+2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_K^{Ad\circ\rho}(t) &= \frac{t-1}{(y+2-x^2)\big(4-x^2+(y-2)(y+2-x^2)S_{m-1}^2(y)\big)} \\ &\quad\times\left(mnt^2-\frac{Ax^4+Bx^2+C}{4+(y-2)(y+2-x^2)S_{m-1}^2(y)}\,t+mn\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $A=A'$, $B=B'-4A'$ and $C=2A'-2B'+C'$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5. Acknowlegements

This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#354595 to Anh Tran).

References

[1] J. Alexander, *Topological invariants of knots and links*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (1928), no. 2, 275–306.

[2] G. Burde and H. Zieschang, *Knots*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 5. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2003.

[3] J. Dubois, V. Huynh and Y. Yamaguchi, *Nonabelian Reidemeister torsion for twist knots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **18** (2009), no. 3, 303–341.

[4] J. Dubois and Y. Yamaguchi, *Twisted Alexander invariant and nonabelian Reidemeister torsion for hyperbolic three dimensional manifolds with cusps*, arXiv:0906.1500.

[5] J. Dubois, *Nonabelian twisted Reidemeister torsion for fibered knots*, Canad. Math. Bull. **49** (2006), no. 1, 55–71.

[6] S. Friedl and S. Vidussi, *A survey of twisted Alexander polynomials*, The Mathematics of Knots: Theory and Application (Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences), eds. Markus Banagl and Denis Vogel (2010), 45–94.

[7] J. Hoste and P. Shanahan, *A formula for the A-polynomial of twist knots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **14** (2005), no. 1, 91–100.

[8] R. Kashaev, *The hyperbolic volume of knots from the quantum dilogarithm*, Lett. Math. Phys. **39** (1997), no. 3, 269–275.

[9] T. Kitano, *Twisted Alexander polynomial and Reidemeister torsion*, Pacific J. Math. **174** (1996), no. 2, 431–442.

[10] P. Kirk and C. Livingston, *Twisted Alexander invariants, Reidemeister torsion, and Casson-Gordon invariants* Topology **38** (1999), no. 3, 635–661.

[11] T. Le, *Varieties of representations and their subvarieties of cohomology jumps for certain knot groups*, Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. **78** (1994) 187–209.

[12] X. S. Lin, *Representations of knot groups and twisted Alexander polynomials*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **17** (2001), 361–380.

[13] H. Murakami and J. Murakami, *The colored Jones polynomials and the simplicial volume of a knot*, Acta Math. **186** (2001), no. 1, 85–104.

[14] T. Morifuji, *Representations of knot groups into $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ and twisted Alexander polynomials*, Handbook of Group Actions (Vol. I), Advanced Lectures in Mathematics **31** (2015) 527–576.

[15] T. Ohtsuki and T. Takata, *On the Kashaev invariant and the twisted Reidemeister torsion of two-bridge knots*, Geom. Topol. **19** (2015), no. 2, 853–952.

[16] J. Porti, *Reidemeister torsion, hyperbolic three-manifolds, and character varieties*, preprint 2015, arXiv:1511.00400.

[17] J. Porti, *Torsion de Reidemeister pour les varietes hyperboliques*, vol. 128, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 612, AMS, 1997.

[18] R. Riley, *Nonabelian representations of two-bridge knot groups*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **35** (1984), no. 138, 191–208.

[19] A. Tran, *Twisted Alexander polynomials of genus one two-bridge knots*, preprint 2015, arXiv:1506.05035.

[20] A. Tran, *Twisted Alexander polynomials with the adjoint action for some classes of knots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **23** (2014), no. 10, 1450051, 10 pp.

[21] Y. Yamaguchi, *A relationship between the nonacyclic Reidemeister torsion and a zero of the acyclic Reidemeister torsion*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **58** (2008), no. 1, 337–362.

[22] M. Wada, *Twisted Alexander polynomial for finitely presentable groups*, Topology **33** (1994), 241–256.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX 75080, USA

E-mail address: att140830@utdallas.edu